

MAR 30 2009

Vimala Sarma  
PO Box 51  
Frenchs Forest NSW 2086  
Australia  
Email: vsarma@bigpond.com  
Fax: +612 9699 4997

United States Patent and Trademark Office  
PO Box 1450  
Arlington VA 22313 1450  
USA

31 March 2009

By Facsimile Only  
Facsimile No. 0011 1 571 273 8300

Attention: Fenn C. Mathew, Art Unit 3764

Dear Sirs,

Re: U.S.A. Patent Application No 10/516509  
National Phase of PCT Application No PCT/AU03/00698  
Leg exercise device  
In the name of Ashwyn Innovations Pty Ltd  
Our Ref: 3703

---

In response to the Official Action mailed 31 December 2008 I wish to amend the claims. The following are attached:

1. New claim set, and
2. An amendment sheet.

It is noted the only objections raised were clarity objections.

In claim 33 the base is now defined as being rigid.

Claim 33 now also defines the surfaces as being first and second surfaces. This provides correct antecedent basis for claims 36 and 37. Claims 36 and 37 have also been amended to have better clarity.


Claim 49 is now dependent on claim 48 and the amendments suggested by the examiner have been made.

Claim 57 has been cancelled.

With these amendments the claims are now clear, do not have any ambiguity and, it is believed, clearly distinguish over the prior art.

I look forward to receiving a notice of allowance.

Kind regards,



Vimala Sarma

In the United States Patent and Trademark Office

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAR 30 2009

Serial No: No 10/516509 (PCT/AU03/00698)  
Application Filed: 3 June 2003  
Applicant: Vimala Sarma  
Application Title: Leg exercise device  
Attention: Fenn C. Mathew, Art Unit 3764

Dear Sirs,

Amendment

---

Please amend the above referenced application as follows:

**IN THE CLAIMS**

Please amend the claims as shown in the attached amended set of claims.


Claims 33, 36, 37 and 49 have been amended and claim 57 is cancelled.

The remaining claims are unchanged.

**REMARKS**

With these amendments the claims are now clear, do not have any ambiguity and, it is believed, clearly distinguish over the prior art.

Kind regards,



Vimala Sarma